

## **Internal Control Systems, Financial Accountability, and Organizational Performance in Public Sector Organizations**

---

### **Umar Umar Abdullahi**

Department of Public Administration, School of Administrative Studies, College of Administration, Management and technology, Potiskum, Yobe State. Nigeria  
E-mail: [umarabdullahiumar212@gmail.com](mailto:umarabdullahiumar212@gmail.com)

### **Kabba Saidu Athales**

Department of Economics, School of Social Science, Gujarat University, India  
E-mail: [saidukabba3630@gmail.com](mailto:saidukabba3630@gmail.com)

### **Mohamed Bangura**

Department of Economics, Faculty of Social Science, Andhra University, India  
E-mail: [1997bmohamed@gmail.com](mailto:1997bmohamed@gmail.com)

### **Mavis Akanbong Awonpok Agiriba**

Department of Landscape Architecture, School of Landscape Architecture, Beijing Forestry University, China  
E-mail: [awonpok1@gmail.com](mailto:awonpok1@gmail.com)

### **Emmanuel Agbeko Enyo**

Department of Engineering Management and Systems Engineering, School of Engineering and Applied Science, George Washington University  
E-mail: [emmanuel.agbeko@gwmail.gwu.edu](mailto:emmanuel.agbeko@gwmail.gwu.edu)  
Phone Number: +234(0)8166694111

### **Abstract**

*This study investigated the relationship between internal control systems (ICS), financial accountability, and organizational performance in public sector organizations. Despite the adoption of internal controls in many government institutions, challenges such as weak accountability, financial mismanagement, and suboptimal service delivery persist. Using a quantitative survey design, data were collected from 150 staff members involved in financial management and auditing functions in selected public organizations. The study employed descriptive statistics and multiple regression analysis to test the hypothesized relationships. Findings reveal that internal control systems significantly enhance financial accountability, and financial accountability, in turn, has a strong positive effect on organizational performance. Furthermore, internal control systems directly influence organizational performance while also exerting an indirect effect through financial accountability, highlighting its mediating role. The study concludes that effective internal control mechanisms and robust financial accountability frameworks are critical for achieving efficiency, transparency, and service delivery in the public sector. Based on these findings, recommendations include strengthening internal control*

*practices, enhancing accountability measures, building staff capacity, and integrating accountability indicators into performance evaluation. The study contributes to public sector governance literature by empirically demonstrating the linkages between controls, accountability, and performance, offering insights for policymakers, auditors, and public managers seeking to improve organizational outcomes.*

**Keywords:** *Internal Control Systems, Financial Accountability, Organizational Performance, Public Sector, Governance, Nigeria*

## 1.1.Introduction

Internal control systems (ICS) represent formal structures and procedures used by organizations to guarantee an efficient reliable and legal use of resources, which includes financial operations and reporting. The major purpose of external controls is to protect the assets, create transparency, and enhance accountability, especially in the context of the public sector, where the embezzlement of state funds is costly in both social and economic terms. Effective internal control mechanisms can help deter fraud, improve operational efficiency and quality of financial reporting as well as quality of performance reporting. These mechanisms include control activities, risk assessment, monitoring and information and communication processes that all instill compliance and accountability Babatunde, S. A. (2013).

Financial accountability refers to the responsibility of the public institutions to disclose, clarify and defend financial actions and results to the stakeholders who may include citizens, regulatory bodies and oversight committees. Public sector accountability goes beyond the requirements of the law and it serves to ensure that the resources are used in their intended purposes and that the officers of the state are held accountable to outcomes. As empirical studies have shown, the presence of effective internal control systems does have a positive impact on financial accountability as the quality of reporting, compliance with

the regulations and transparency Kewo, C. L. (2017).

The performance of an organization in the public sector is generally determined based on the efficiency, effectiveness, services delivery performance, and obedience to financial regulations. Performance does not only involve financial outcomes but also means fulfillment of mandate in an upright and responsive way. According to recent empirical studies, strong internal control systems are associated with high performance of public institutions, by availing of good information, eliminating mistakes or fraud and enhancing decision making procedures Akinyele, A. E. (2016).

It is evident that in spite of the internal control frameworks that are widely used, both weak accountability and sub-optimal performance still exist especially in the developing economies. At the example of Nigeria, researchers state that the public sector still struggles with poor execution of internal control, sabotaging accountability and performance in the rest of the government structures Olanrewaju, A. (2019).

In this regard, this research paper examines interconnections between the internal control systems, financial accountability and the performance of the public sector organizations. By paying attention to these relationships, it aims at making contributions both to the academic literature and practical policy solutions.



per the studies, control systems exist but their effectiveness varies depending on the quality of implementation, the limited resources available, and the supervisory control Adeola, A. S. (2020).

## **2.6 Literary Interpretation and Literary Gap.**

Available literature supports definite associations between IRS and financial responsibility in the state sector. However, (i) there is a dearth of systematic evidence related to the direct relationship between internal control and organizational performance outcomes, especially in the developing economies; (ii) existing research focuses on financial reporting and compliance, and little is said about overall performance measures including quality of service delivery and satisfying its citizens; and (iii) inconsistency of findings suggests that performance gains on effective controls were realized in some organizations, and performance gains on weak implementation were eliminated (rsisinternational.org). These gaps justify the current research study, which does not only examine the connection between IRS and financial accountability, but also examines the mediation of accountability on the impact of IRS on the performance of the public sector organizations.

## **Research Methodology**

### **3.1 Introduction**

The chapter clarifies the methodologies that will be used to empirically explore how internal control systems and financial accountability have an impact on organizational performance in the public sector institutions. It includes research design, population, sampling plan, and operationalisation of variables, data

collection process and the methods of analysis.

### **3.2 Research Design**

The research shall be descriptive survey design, a research paradigm that most social sciences use in obtaining primary data in a systematic manner through set tools. This design allows systematic collection of perceptions, experiences, and evaluations of the respondents in relation to internal control process, financial accountability process, and performance of the public sector organisations. The descriptive surveys are mostly appropriate when the researcher wants to formulate and test the relationship between variables.

### **3.3 Population of the Study**

The target audience will include the employees of the chosen organisations of the public sector including ministries, departments, and agencies where the direct work includes the financial management, interior audit, and performance reporting. This group consists of the accounting officers, internal auditors, financial managers among other pertinent administrative staff whose duties involve the internal control and accountability procedures. Concentrating on these groups is important so that the respondents are well endowed with substantive knowledge and experience in regards to the constructs being studied.

### **3.4 Sample Size and Sampling Technique**

Since it is not practical to survey a whole population because of time and resource limitation, a representative sample will be selected among the stated population. Purposive sampling has been widely used in analogous empirical research, where the respondents are sampled according to their

relevance to the areas of research focus (e.g., finance, audit and accountability position) to protect data quality and relevance. Simple random sampling is often used with purposive sampling (also known as judgmental sampling) in studies involving the public sector to supplement purposive sampling with regard to representativeness, but does not ensure expertise among respondents. The sample size will be determined using the total number of eligible staff members in the selected organisations using statistical formulae, e.g. the formula by Yamane, or use of recommended guidelines to provide a sufficient level of precision and confidence.

The data collection instrument employed is an interview schedule, where the data is gathered through a questionnaire.

### **3.5 Data Collection Instrument; The data collection instrument is a schedule of questions or a questionnaire, in which the data is collected in the form of a questionnaire.**

The primary data will be collected using a structured questionnaire that is to be developed by the researcher. The questionnaire will consist of separate parts, each of which will record the data related to one of the major constructs:

- Internal Control Systems- evaluated through questions dealing with control environment, risk assessment, control activities, information and communication, monitoring, and evaluation.
- Financial Accountability - measured by questions about transparency, adherence to regulation, accuracy of reporting and communication with stakeholders.
- Organizational Performance - assessed through the items connected

to the operational efficiency, service delivery results, compliance, and overall effectiveness.

The instrument will use a five-point Likert scale (Strongly Disagree = 1 to Strongly Agree = 5) to determine the levels of agreement of the respondents with the statements on each construct. This research design is compatible with common methods in research of the social sciences in the study of perceptions and practices.

### **3.6 Validity and Reliability**

In order to conduct content and construct validity, the questionnaire items shall be reviewed among experts in accounting, public administration as well as research methodology. Pilot testing will be taken to assess the clarity and relevancy of questions to the research aim with further refinement of instrument being done based on the pilot feedback. The instrument reliability will be determined by use of Cronbach alpha, but a coefficient greater than 0.70 will be acceptable as internal consistency. Pilot data shall also guide the revision of the items which have poor reliability.

### **3.7 Data Collection Procedure**

The researcher will also seek the consent of the sampled public sector organisations to administer the questionnaires, after instrument validation. The instruments will be distributed and retrieved face to face or electronically, depending on the logistical factors and availability. The information will be collected anonymously to ensure that the respondents will be free to be candid and that the research should eliminate social desirability bias.





[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671\(15\)01096-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(15)01096-5)

- Akinyele, A. E. (2016). Financial control and accountability in the public sector. *International Journal of Arts & Sciences*, 9(2), 301.
- Olanrewaju, A. (2019). The impact of internal control system on the financial accountability of non-governmental organisations in nigeria: Evidence from the structural equation modelling. *International Review of Management and Marketing*.  
<https://doi.org/10.32479/irmm.7909>
- Mungai, D. K., Maina, M., & Kungu, J. N. (2021). Effect of internal control systems on financial performance of public universities in Kenya. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 12(16), 80-94.  
<http://repository.kyu.ac.ke/123456789/868>
- Alam, M. M., Said, J., & Abd Aziz, M. A. (2019). Role of integrity system, internal control system and leadership practices on the accountability practices in the public sectors of Malaysia. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 15(7), 955-976. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SRJ-03-2017-0051>
- Jatmiko, B., Haya, B. S., & Utami, T. P. (2022). Enhancing value for money performance in local government organizations: The role of public financial accountability and internal control. *Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi Dan Keuangan*, 12(2), 245-259.  
<https://doi.org/10.22219/jrak.v12i2.22143>
- Putri, A. G., Al Farizi, M., Eviyanti, N., Wibowo, B. Y., & Wiedayanti, D. F. (2025). Internal Control Systems And Financial Accountability In Public Sector Enterprises. *Al-Kharaj: Journal of Islamic Economic and Business*, 7(4).  
<https://doi.org/10.24256/kharaj.v7i4.8797>
- Adeola, A. S. (2020). *Effect of Internal Control System on Financial Accountability in Nigerian Public Service: Evidence from Oyo State Water Corporation* (Master's thesis, Kwara State University (Nigeria)).
- Sanusi, Z. M., Johari, R. J., Said, J., & Iskandar, T. (2015). The effects of internal control system, financial management and accountability of NPOs: the perspective of mosques in Malaysia. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 28, 156-162.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671\(15\)01095-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(15)01095-3)
- Brenya Bonsu, A., Appiah, K. O., Gyimah, P., & Owusu-Afriyie, R. (2023). Public sector accountability: do leadership practices, integrity and internal control systems matter?. *IIM Ranchi journal of management studies*, 2(1), 4-15.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/IRJMS-02-2022-0010>
- Reginato, E., Nonnis, C., & Pavan, A. (2012). Modern public internal control systems and accountability in health care organisations. *Economia Aziendale Online*, 2(4), 381-396.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.4485/ea2038-5498.381-396>
- Kaya I (2018), Perspectives on Internal Control and enterprise risk management. In Bilgin, Eurasian Business perspectives (pp 379 – 389) Wiley. [http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-67913-6\\_26](http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-67913-6_26)

Nwuzor, C., Awa, F., Elom, J., (2024). Internal Control Systems and Service Delivery in Government owned Universities in Ebonyi State, Nigeria. *Afr. J. Polit. Adm. Stud.* 17, 477–499.

<https://doi.org/10.4314/ajpas.v17i1.23>

Lious, O., Clement, A., Benedict, A., Korsorku, P.A.D., Nti, S.F., (2023). The Effect of Employee's Perception of Internal Control on Output Delivery in the Public Sector: A Case Study of Wiawso College of Education. *J. Finance Account.*

<https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jfa.20231105.11>

Saputra, A., Setiawan, A.B., Jamaludin, A., (2024). The Effect of the Government Internal Control System on the Performance of Government Agencies. *J. Ilm. Akunt. Kesatuan* 12, 781–790.

<https://doi.org/10.37641/jiakes.v12i5.2932>